

<b>Report Title:</b>	Powys Public Service Board Scrutiny Committee
<b>Lead Officer:</b>	James Langridge-Thomas, Deputy Head of Transformation and Democratic Services

### Key Issues in the report highlighted by Lead Officer

- Aim of the session will to be provide an overview of the PSB and its Well-being Plan and progress to date to use this as the basis for Scrutiny

### Key Feeders (tick all that apply)

Strategic Risk	✓	Cabinet Work Plan	
Director / Head of Service Key Issue		External / Internal Inspection	
Existing Commitment / Annual Report	✓	Performance / Finance Issue	✓
Suggestion from Public		Referral from Council / Committee	
Corporate Improvement Plan		Impacting Public / other services	✓
Service Integrated Business Plan			
Suggestion from Members			
Partnerships	✓		

### Scrutiny Impact (tick all that apply)

Policy Review	✓	Performance	
Informing Policy Development	✓	Evidence Gathering	✓
Risk	✓	Corporate Improvement Plan	
Service Integrated Business Plan		Partnerships	✓
Pre-Decision Scrutiny		Finance / Budget	

### Other (please specify)

N/A

### Suggested scrutiny activity - Committee's Role:

To review the Step update surrounding the Powys PSB Well-being Plan and ensure that the PSB is maximising its contribution to the [well-being goals and working in a way conducive to the five ways of working](#).

### On what specific elements of the report would scrutiny comment add value

- Reviewing the steps delivery plans for 2023/24 and progress to date to provide assurance and scrutiny surrounding direction of travel

Example questions include:

- How likely is it that the actions identified relate to the achievement of the well-being objectives?
- How can it be evidenced that the actions identified represent the maximum agency and influence able to be committed by the PSB working collectively?
- How well are the time frames in which actions are intended to take place specified?
- Does the plan provide for opportunities to review and reflect on whether actions are resulting in desired impact, or whether a change in approach is needed?
- Who is responsible for delivering on the actions leading to the achievement of objectives?

- f. How do the actions identified in the plan link to the actions of partners that are engaged in the work of the PSB?
- g. How will the PSB be able to assess whether identified actions are resulting in measurable change in the short, medium and longer term?
- h. To what extent will user experience be used to determine the impact actions are having upon different aspects of well-being in different parts of the area?
- i. To what extent have intended actions been delivered within the timescales specified?
- j. How much progress has been made towards meeting the well-being objectives? How far have the PSB's expectations been met?
- k. What lessons has the PSB learnt as a result of progress to date? How will these lessons be incorporated into the PSBs planning cycle and how the PSB operates as a partnership?
- l. What have been the resource implications of delivering on the well-being plan?
- m. How has delivering as a collective impacted on the delivery of individual well-being objectives in accordance with the sustainable development principles?
- n. What unintended consequences have arisen from delivering against the well-being plan? What are the main factors that have impacted upon delivery?